

Mark Buijsters

Van: [redacted]@nfia.co.uk>
Verzonden: woensdag 4 juli 2018 11:15
Aan: [redacted]
CC: [redacted]
Onderwerp: RE: Discovery meeting gisteren

Hi [redacted]

Dank voor onderstaande update. Goed om te horen dat Discovery stappen maakt richting het aanvragen van licenties bij het CvdM en een officiële beslissing over locatie EU HQ.

Mochten jullie ons nog ergens voor nodig hebben, laat maar weten.

Hartelijke groet,
[redacted]

From: [redacted]@CVDM.NL>
Sent: 04 July 2018 10:04
To: [redacted]@nfia.co.uk>
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Discovery meeting gisteren

Hi [redacted] en [redacted]

De meeting (aanwezig [redacted] en mijzelf van het CvdM, [redacted] van Discovery) was overigens niet maandag maar dinsdag. [redacted]

Grootste deel van het gesprek was gewijd aan een 12 verschillende zender scenario's die ze aan ons hadden voorgelegd mbt de toepassing van de voorgeschreven minimum percentages oorspronkelijk Nederlandstalige werken. [redacted]

Ook is verder gesproken over hun behoefte aan een training voor hen en aantal andere Discovery collega's over met name de regels voor commerciële communicatie.

[redacted]
Beste groet,
[redacted]

Van: [redacted]@nfia.co.uk]
Verzonden: dinsdag 3 juli 2018 13:57
Aan: [redacted]@CVDM.NL>
CC: [redacted]
Onderwerp: Discovery meeting gisteren

Ha [redacted]

We zijn benieuwd hoe de meeting met [redacted] gisteren is verlopen.

Alvast dank voor de update.


Groeten,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]
Senior Project Manager

NETHERLANDS FOREIGN INVESTMENT AGENCY
Embassy of the Kingdom of the Netherlands
38 Hyde Park Gate | London SW7 5DP | United Kingdom
E [Redacted]@nfia.co.uk T +44 20 7590 3286 M [Redacted]

[Invest in Holland](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [NFIA on LinkedIn](#)



[REDACTED]

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: woensdag 4 juli 2018 10:31
Aan: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Onderwerp: RE: Dutch Content Quotas and Related Exemptions - Private and Confidential

Dear [REDACTED]

Following the discussion we had yesterday I can confirm that for the calculation of the total amount of original Dutch language works advertising/teleshopping is counted in. So - unlike is the case for the subtitling requirement - you do NOT have to deduct it when calculating.
For public service media there is a different approach but that is of course not relevant for you.

So your assumption yesterday was correct and frankly spoken we though already so too.
Sorry we could not confirm it instantly during our meeting but as we explained and as you hopefully will understand we wanted to be completely certain and therefore we felt the need to this check this once more internally.

I sincerely hope this information is helpful and will have eliminated the risk of serious health issues as indicated by you yesterday 😊

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

Van: [REDACTED]@discovery.com]
Verzonden: maandag 2 juli 2018 12:40
Aan: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>
CC: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>; [REDACTED]@discovery.com>
Onderwerp: Re: Dutch Content Quotas and Related Exemptions - Private and Confidential

Hi [REDACTED]

Could we make it slightly later say 1pm? Our meeting before is in Amsterdam at 1130am. I am asking if we can make this a little earlier but if we could come to you a bit later that would be great.

Best.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Vice President, [REDACTED]
Mobile: [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

On 28 Jun 2018, at 23:41, [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

As promised, please find attached a document concerning the 12 scenarios that you presented to us. We will be happy to elaborate on this during our meeting of July 3rd.

Just to be sure: I assume on the basis of our emails below that our meeting will start 12:30 pm. If this assumption is incorrect please let me know so that I can try to make adjustments so as to fit your schedule.

Regards,

Van: [REDACTED]@discovery.com]

Verzonden: dinsdag 19 juni 2018 13:36

Aan: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>

CC: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>; [REDACTED]@discovery.com>

Onderwerp: Re: Dutch Content Quotas and Related Exemptions - Private and Confidential

Apologies for not coming back. Yes this time works.

Best.

[REDACTED] VP [REDACTED]

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

On 19 Jun 2018, at 01:17, [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL> wrote:

Hi [REDACTED]

Just to make sure you received this. Do you already know whether you can make it in the afternoon of July 3rd?

Regards,

[REDACTED]

Van: [REDACTED]

Verzonden: woensdag 13 juni 2018 08:00

Aan: [REDACTED]@discovery.com>

CC: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>; [REDACTED]@discovery.com>

Onderwerp: Re: Dutch Content Quotas and Related Exemptions - Private and Confidential

Dear [REDACTED]

The afternoon of July 3rd (any time after 12.30 Pm) would work best for us. Please let us know if that would also fit into your schedule.

We will make sure that you will receive our reaction to the 12 scenarios well before that meeting, so we can go through them during the meeting.

Best regards, [REDACTED]

Verstuurd vanaf mijn iPhone

Op 12 jun. 2018 om 12:58 heeft [REDACTED]@discovery.com> het volgende geschreven:

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and I will be in AMS on 2 and 3 July.

Let us know which of these days would work best for us to meet.

Best.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] | VP [REDACTED]
Chiswick Park Building 2, 566 Chiswick High Road, London W4 5YB
W: +44 (0) 208 811 [REDACTED] | M: +44 [REDACTED] | E:
[REDACTED]@discovery.com

<image001.png>

Discovery Corporate Services Limited
Incorporated in England and Wales under company number 08597513
European Union Transparency Register identification number
288009010205-61

The contents of this email are confidential and may be legally privileged. Please note that any written or verbal communications regarding deal terms may be rescinded or revoked by Discovery at any time prior to full execution of a binding written agreement between your company and Discovery. Prior to the execution of such agreement, Discovery shall have no obligations with respect to any deal terms discussed and/or agreed to in principle.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 June 2018 11:32
To: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>
Cc: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@discovery.com>
Subject: RE: Dutch Content Quotas and Related Exemptions -
Private and Confidential

Hi [REDACTED]

I wondered when we might hear back from you on the various scenarios below.

[REDACTED] and I are planning to be in Amsterdam again in the next two or three weeks and it would be great to hear back from you before that so we can discuss in our meeting.

Best.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] | VP [REDACTED]
Chiswick Park Building 2, 566 Chiswick High Road, London W4 5YB
W: +44 (0) 208 811 [REDACTED] | M: +44 [REDACTED] | E:
[REDACTED]@discovery.com

<image002.png>

Discovery Corporate Services Limited
Incorporated in England and Wales under company number 08597513
European Union Transparency Register identification number
288009010205-61

The contents of this email are confidential and may be legally privileged. Please note that any written or verbal communications regarding deal terms may be rescinded or revoked by Discovery at any time prior to full execution of a binding written agreement between your company and Discovery. Prior to the execution of such agreement, Discovery shall have no obligations with respect to any deal terms discussed and/or agreed to in principle.

From: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL]
Sent: 30 May 2018 15:21
To: [REDACTED]@discovery.com>

Cc: [redacted]@CVDN.NL>; [redacted]

[redacted]@discovery.com>

Subject: Re: Dutch Content Quotas and Related Exemptions - Private and Confidential

Dear [redacted]

Thank you for your email. We will look into it and get back to you shortly. We are fully aware of the importance of this issue for your company.

Best regards, [redacted]

Ps: as you will undoubtedly know, Dutch media also picked up on The Guardian's publication of Discovery's possible relocation to the Netherlands.

Verstuurd vanaf mijn iPhone

Op 29 mei 2018 om 12:07 heeft [redacted]

[redacted]@discovery.com> het volgende geschreven:

Dear [redacted]

Just following up on one of our key action points.

As part of our decision making process we really need to understand the detail of Dutch Content quotas as this would be a new requirement for us / have a significant commercial impact. As discussed EU quotas are also a requirement under Ofcom (albeit with slightly different wording, as they implement 'where practicable') so this is something we are more familiar with and is not the subject of this email.

We have set out below all the possible scenarios we could think of in terms of what our portfolio of feeds / channels might look like in the future – the business is currently implementing change so we cannot be more precise yet. We would ask that in each case you provide us with guidance on how the exemption process might work. The attached letter of comfort is a little more high level but we have tried to apply the principles it sets out in the table below.

We also assume that you would treat all our channels as 'start ups' in a new territory and we would benefit from a stepped approach to meeting quotas whether it is 40% or a lesser amount if a partial exemption is agreed.

	Feed	Proposed Exemption	Sliding Scal Implement
--	------	--------------------	------------------------

1.	Feed directed only at Netherlands with localised advertising	None	Yes 0-15-25-40'
2.	Feed(s) directed only to other markets outside Netherlands (with or without advertising)	Full Exemption	N/A
3.	Pan feed to multiple markets including Netherlands – no advertising	Full Exemption on basis primary purpose of feed not to target NL audience but multiple territories with no localisation	N/A
4.	Pan feed to multiple markets including Netherlands – same advertising everywhere	Full Exemption on basis primary purpose not to target NL audience but multiple territories with no localisation	N/A
5.	Pan feed to multiple markets with Dutch cue tones – i.e. localised advertising in Netherlands	Full/Partial Exemption on basis primary purpose not to target NL audience but multiple territories	Yes if applic
6.	Benelux feed – same feed for Netherlands, Luxembourg and Belgium - same advertising everywhere	Full/Partial Exemption as not solely targeted at Dutch audience but Benelux region with no localisation	Yes if applic
7.	Feed directed only at Netherlands with localised advertising - feed with only specialised content such as crime	Full exemption as special circumstances (not locally available/highly costly to produce/genre/audience interest)	N/A
8.	Feed(s) directed only to other markets outside Netherlands (with or without advertising) - feed with only specialised content such as crime	Full Exemption on basis primary purpose of feed not to target NL audience but multiple territories PLUS special circumstances (not locally available/highly costly to produce/genre/audience interest)	N/A
9.	Pan feed to multiple markets including Netherlands – no advertising - feed with only specialised content such as crime	Full exemption on basis primary purpose of feed not to target NL audience PLUS special circumstances (not locally available/highly costly to produce/genre/audience interest)	N/A
10.	Pan feed to multiple markets including Netherlands – same advertising	Full exemption on basis primary purpose of feed	N/A

	everywhere - feed with only specialised content such as crime	not to target NL audience but multiple territories PLUS special circumstances (not locally available/highly costly to produce/genre/audience interest)	
11.	Pan feed to multiple markets with Dutch cue tones – i.e. localised advertising in Netherlands - feed with only specialised content such as crime	Full Exemption on basis primary purpose not to target NL audience but multiple territories PLUS special circumstances (not locally available/highly costly to produce/genre/audience interest)	N/A
12.	Benelux feed – same feed for Netherlands, Luxembourg and Belgium - feed with only specialised content such as crime	Full Exemption as not solely targeted at Dutch audience but Benelux region with no localisation PLUS special circumstances (not locally available/highly costly to produce/genre/audience interest)	N/A

We look forward to hearing from you on the above.

Best,

█

█ | VP █

Chiswick Park Building 2, 566 Chiswick High Road,
London W4 5YB

W: +44 (0) 208 811 █ | M: +44 █ | E:

█@discovery.com

<image002.png>

Discovery Corporate Services Limited

Incorporated in England and Wales under company
number 08597513

European Union Transparency Register identification
number 288009010205-61

The contents of this email are confidential and may be legally privileged. Please note that any written or verbal communications regarding deal terms may be rescinded or revoked by Discovery at any time prior to full execution of a binding written agreement between your company and Discovery. Prior to the execution of such agreement, Discovery shall have no obligations with respect to any deal terms discussed and/or agreed to in principle.

From: █@CVDN.NL]

Sent: 06 June 2017 14:44

To: █@discovery.com>

Cc: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>
Subject: letter of comfort

Dear [REDACTED]

Please find attached the so-called letter of comfort you have asked for. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me, or [REDACTED]

Regards, [REDACTED]

<Discovery.pdf>

<Follow-up info for Discovery Communications on exemptions 290618.docx>

Mark Buijsters

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: maandag 11 juni 2018 17:59
Aan: [REDACTED]
Onderwerp: FW: collegememo discovery ontheffing NL quota
Bijlagen: collegememo discovery ontheffing NL quota.docx

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: vrijdag 8 juni 2018 15:42
Aan: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>
Onderwerp: collegememo discovery ontheffing NL quota

Hoi [REDACTED]
Hier het memo over discovery. Er horen 2 bijlagen bij die ik direct hierna aan je doorstuur. Hang jij deze stukken aan de dinsdag (maar dus niet als officieel stuk voor beheerdeel)?
Groet en dank! [REDACTED]

Mark Buijsters

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: vrijdag 8 juni 2018 15:43
Aan: [REDACTED]
Onderwerp: FW: Discovery
Bijlagen: Follow-up info for Discovery Communications on exemptions.05-06-18.docx

Dit is de ene bijlage (alleen het word document)

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: woensdag 6 juni 2018 19:10
Aan: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>
Onderwerp: Discovery

Hi!

Weer aangepast en ingekleurd 😊 nav ons gesprek. Spreek je morgen weer.

Groet,
[REDACTED]

Met vriendelijke groet,

[REDACTED]
Beleidsadviseur Externe Betrekkingen & Advisering

Commissariaat voor de Media
Hoge Naarderweg 78, 1217 AH Hilversum
T [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@cvdm.nl, www.cvdm.nl

Aan dit bericht kunnen geen rechten worden ontleend

COMMISSARIAAT VOOR DE MEDIA

Mark Buijnsters

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: vrijdag 8 juni 2018 15:43
Aan: [REDACTED]
Onderwerp: FW: Via e-mail verzenden: Discovery
Bijlagen: Discovery.pdf

En dit is de andere!

Groet, [REDACTED]

-----Oorspronkelijk bericht-----

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: maandag 28 mei 2018 10:44
Aan: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>
Onderwerp: Via e-mail verzenden: Discovery

Uw bericht kan nu met de volgende bijlagen of koppelingen worden verzonden:

Discovery

Mark Buijsters

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: vrijdag 30 november 2018 14:11
Aan: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Onderwerp: RE: abonnee

Dag [REDACTED]

Het klopt dat het Commissariaat in soortgelijke gevallen heeft geoordeeld dat voor abonneekanalen een volledige ontheffing kan worden verleend ten aanzien van de Nederlands-/Friestallige quota. Zie hiervoor ook het gepubliceerde besluit op de website:

<https://www.cvdm.nl/besluit/ontheffing-programmaquota-private-tv-hd/>

Uiteraard hangt het van de specifieke omstandigheden van het geval af of een programmakanaal kan worden aangemerkt als abonneekanaal en of dit kanaal voor volledige ontheffing in aanmerking komt. Maar dit spreekt uiteraard voor zich.

Hopelijk kunnen jullie hiermee verder.
Bij verdere vragen weet je ons te vinden, we zien uit naar de aanvraag!

Vriendelijke groeten,

[REDACTED]
Adviseur Onderzoek & Toegang

Commissariaat voor de Media
Hoge Naarderweg 78, 1217 AH Hilversum
Postbus 1426, 1200 BK Hilversum

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@cvdm.nl, www.cvdm.nl

Aan dit bericht kunnen geen rechten worden ontleend

-----Oorspronkelijk bericht-----

Van: [REDACTED]@icloud.com>
Verzonden: vrijdag 30 november 2018 09:03
Aan: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>
CC: [REDACTED]@cvdm.nl>
Onderwerp: abonnee

Ha [REDACTED] en [REDACTED]

dank voor de feedback op de dummy, we zijn nu druk bezig met de aanvraag. Nog even een vrij overbodige vraag, maar toch voor de grootst mogelijke zekerheid: een abonnee kanaal hoeft niet te voldoen aan de Nederlandse quota, ongeacht de vraag of Nederlands publiek wordt aangesproken/getarget. Dat klopt toch?

Veel groeten, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: donderdag 7 maart 2019 14:50
Aan: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Onderwerp: FW: European Works - example of detail submitted to Ofcom

Hello [REDACTED]

You asked on January 30th the next question.

"I am hoping that you agree that this level of detail would be sufficient for any channels that are subject to European works quotas, but are exempt from the Dutch quotas. I look forward to hearing your thoughts"

The answer took a while because I wanted to study the information you had submitted and wanted to discuss it internally.

The requirements for reporting on European and Dutch/Frisian works are included in article 17 of the policy rule 'Beleidsregel programmaquota'. In principle, a media institution must report using the form provided by the Commissariaat. After permission from the Commissariaat, it is permitted to use a personal form as long as it complies with the conditions in the policy rule. A form designed by the media institution itself must first be submitted to the Commissariaat for approval. The required data must still be supplied in detail at this time. The Commissariaat will, on the basis of the information sent by you about the Ofcom and Greece, examine whether adjustment is possible. We do not expect to be able to make a statement about this in a short term, because this partly depends on the way in which the regulations on the program quota will be included in the new Media Act.

The Commissariaat has tried to reduce the reporting burden by having only four sample weeks reported. It is also only compulsory to report on television program channels with a market share of more than 0.3% in one of the European member states or a technical range of 75% or more in the Netherlands.

I hope this answers your question.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]
Adviseur Onderzoek & Toegang

Commissariaat voor de Media
Hoge Naarderweg 78, 1217 AH Hilversum
T [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@cvdm.nl, www.cvdm.nl
Aan dit bericht kunnen geen rechten worden ontleend



Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: donderdag 7 februari 2019 08:58
Aan: [REDACTED]>
Onderwerp: RE: European Works - example of detail submitted to Ofcom


Hi [REDACTED]

Thank you very much for this information. This is very helpful for us.

Met vriendelijke groet,

██████████
Adviseur Onderzoek & Toegang

Commissariaat voor de Media
Hoge Naarderweg 78, 1217 AH Hilversum
T ██████████
██████████@cvdm.nl, www.cvdm.nl
Aan dit bericht kunnen geen rechten worden ontleend



COMMISSARIAAT VOOR DE MEDIA

Van: ██████████@discovery.com>
Verzonden: dinsdag 5 februari 2019 19:36
Aan: ██████████@CVDM.NL>
Onderwerp: RE: European Works - example of detail submitted to Ofcom

Hi ██████████

I hope you are well.

- **There are 4 steps in the procedure. Do you have any examples of every step?**

The 'steps' that Ofcom refers to in their system is quite misleading. It actually refers to the progress that the broadcaster has made with the report:

- Step 1 – "Not started": advises that whilst Ofcom's system have made a blank report available for the broadcaster to populate, the broadcaster has not yet entered any information into the report
- Step 2 – "In progress": advises that the broadcast has inserted some information (perhaps all that is required), but the broadcaster has not labelled the status as 'ready to submit'. Personally, I leave reports in this stage, until a second member of the team has checked the accuracy of the data that we intend to submit
- Step 3 – "Ready to submit": advises that broadcasters are now confident with the inputted data and is fine to be submitted to Ofcom. For a broadcaster as large as ours, this 'step' can be especially helpful, as it helps us to quickly identify which reports have already been double checked for accuracy
- Step 4 – "Submitted": this simply means that the report has been submitted back to Ofcom

On Ofcom's main page (see screen shot below), they summarise the broadcaster's progress. As you will see from the screen shot, we currently we have 101 "TV AV Media Services" reports (specifically for European Works) that we have not started

MID submissions dashboard

Company details / submissions roles	Returns summary	Contact details
<p>Discovery Corporate Services Limited</p> <p>14EPETG</p> <p>discovery.com</p> <p>Chiswick Park Building 2 566 Chiswick High Road LONDON W4 5YB United Kingdom</p> <p>Data Submitter TV Transmission & Revenue Return</p> <p>Data Submitter TV AV Media Services Return</p>	<p>112 Not started TV Transmission & Revenue Return</p> <p>101 Not started TV AV Media Services Return</p> <p>117 Submitted TV Transmission & Revenue Return</p> <p>102 Submitted TV AV Media Services Return</p> <p>View returns</p>	<p>Stephen Sinclair</p> <p>discovery.com</p> <p>Discovery House Chiswick Park 6 Chiswick High Road W4 5YB United Kingdom</p> <p>Update contact details</p>

IMPORTANT: If you are a TV or radio contact, please note that updating your details in MID will not update your Licensing information. To amend your contact organisation's details, including where you or a colleague are one of the following:

- Licence contact
- Public contact
- Compliance contact
- Finance contact
- Technical or Emergency contact (where applicable)

... you need to update your contact details here in the Ofcom Online Services Portal [and email broadcast.licensing@ofcom.org.uk](mailto:roadcast.licensing@ofcom.org.uk).

- **What database do you use for the composition of the report? What kind of metadata is included in this database?**

The reports are submitted into a system that Ofcom manages – each January, they create a report for each channel that we are required to report on. Details regarding Ofcom's "Market intelligence Database" system can be found here: https://www.ofcom.org.uk/data/assets/pdf_file/0025/107737/Ofcom-Online-Services-User-Guide-TV-TR-and-AVMS>Returns.pdf. Please note that other reports are also submitted on this database, the information that is relevant for you is from page 20 onwards

- **How can Ofcom check if the numbers in step 4 are correct? Do they have access to the detailed program information?**

Step 3 Initially gives broadcasters an opportunity to double check the numbers that have been submitted. In the past a separate member of staff ('Approver') would need to login and confirm that the entries for each report were accurate – when the system was updated last year, this step disappeared, but we at Discovery continue to use the former process of double checking the entries for accuracy

Ofcom do not currently have access to detailed programme information, they have never requested this. However, if they are concerned that any of the submissions are incorrect, they email us and ask for further clarification. Please be advised that they have never made such a request for this particular report, they generally request for explanations when there has been a significant variance with programme spend or revenue.

I hope for now my responses prove useful, but should you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

I must confess that I am focussing on the digital applications that [REDACTED] is so keen to receive and preparing for Ofcom's 2018 submissions, so I have not had much of an opportunity to focus my attentions on taking a closer look at the 2017 template for quota submissions (and translate everything). I hope that later in the week, I will have some time to review, and then will be in touch, with any questions.

Many thanks,

From: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>
Sent: 05 February 2019 12:44
To: [REDACTED]@discovery.com>
Subject: FW: European Works - example of detail submitted to Ofcom

Hi [REDACTED]

A couple of days ago I promised to come back to you about de examples of reporting European Works to the Ofcom. It leads to a couple of questions I would like to ask you, based on the example.

- There are 4 steps in the procedure. Do you have any examples of every step?
- What database do you use for the composition of the report? What kind of metadata is included in this database?
- How can Ofcom check if the numbers in step 4 are correct? Do they have access to the detailed program information?

In follow up are there any questions of comments on your part? Thanks for answering this questions in advance.

Met vriendelijke groet,

[REDACTED]
Adviseur Onderzoek & Toegang

Commissariaat voor de Media
Hoge Naarderweg 78, 1217 AH Hilversum
T [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@cvdm.nl, www.cvdm.nl
Aan dit bericht kunnen geen rechten worden ontleend



Grteeting,

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: donderdag 31 januari 2019 10:57
Aan: [REDACTED]@discovery.com>
Onderwerp: RE: European Works - example of detail submitted to Ofcom

Hello [REDACTED]

It was indeed a good meeting and good to meet you in person. Thanks for the examples. I will look at them next week and will come back as soon as possible.

Met vriendelijke groet,

Adviseur Onderzoek & Toegang

Commissariaat voor de Media
Hoge Naarderweg 78, 1217 AH Hilversum
T. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@cvdm.nl, www.cvdm.nl
Aan dit bericht kunnen geen rechten worden ontleend

COMMISSARIAAT VOOR DE MEDIA

Van: [REDACTED]@discovery.com>

Verzonden: woensdag 30 januari 2019 18:41

Aan: [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>

CC: [REDACTED]@discovery.com>; [REDACTED]@CVDM.NL>; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@icloud.com>

Onderwerp: European Works - example of detail submitted to Ofcom

Hi [REDACTED]

It was lovely meeting you on Monday.

As discussed, I was concerned that the level of detail featured in the Excel document that Dutch licensed broadcasters can choose to use for submitting reports appear rather excessive for any of our licenses that are required to adhere to a European Works quota, but are exempt from the Dutch quota.

Attached you will find some examples of actual submissions made to Ofcom for the 2017 reporting year:

- Discovery Historia – example of a standalone feed
- Animal Planet pan-regional – example of a pan feed
- Animal Planet Romanian feed – example of an ad-insertion version connected to a pan feed (Animal Planet pan-regional) which has slightly different figures, due to ad-insertions
- Animal Planet Slovenian feed – example of an ad-insertion version connected to a pan feed (Animal Planet pan-regional) which has slightly different figures, due to ad-insertions

As you will see, Ofcom merely require broadcasters to provide the following data (reported in hours) for the European Work, which they later share with the European Commission:

- o Total programme hours (after excluded content)
- o Total European programming
- o Total European Independent programming
- o Total Recent (within 5 years of production) European Independent programming

As mentioned, a requirement in Greece is that the platforms are also required to report on the European Works for each channel that transmit. We supply exactly the same level of detail to our Greek platform, and there has never been a request for any additional information.

I am hoping that you agree that this level of detail would be sufficient for any channels that are subject to European works quotas, but are exempt from the Dutch quotas. I look forward to hearing your thoughts

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Warm regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] | **Manager, Compliance & Regulatory Licensing**
Discovery | Chiswick Park Building 2, 566 Chiswick High Road, London W4 5YB
T: [REDACTED] | M: [REDACTED] | E: [REDACTED]@discovery.com

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

Mark Buijnsters

Van: [redacted]@icloud.com>
Verzonden: donderdag 4 april 2019 17:23
Aan: [redacted]
Onderwerp: Re: EW voor ID

Dank [redacted]

Verstuurd vanaf mijn iPhone

> Op 4 apr. 2019 om 15:18 heeft [redacted]@cvdm.nl> het volgende geschreven:

>

> Hoi [redacted]

>

> Ik stuur je hierbij een document met daarin een tabel waarin per programmakanaal wordt aangegeven welke ontheffing wordt verleend.

> Deze tabel zal hoogstwaarschijnlijk ook worden gepubliceerd op de website, tezamen met de besluiten.

>

> Voor jou wellicht ook handig dat je het een en ander uit 1 document kunt aflezen.

> Voor de volledigheid: dit is geen officieel document en ik wil je vragen hier vertrouwelijk mee om te gaan.

>

> Groet,

> [redacted]

>

> -----Oorspronkelijk bericht-----

> Van: [redacted]@icloud.com>

> Verzonden: donderdag 4 april 2019 13:29

> Aan: [redacted]@cvdm.nl>

> Onderwerp: EW voor ID

>

> Ha [redacted]

>

> Zou je nog een keer voor alle ID varianten per e-mail de percentages voor EW kunnen geven?

>

> Groet, [redacted]

>

> Verstuurd vanaf mijn iPhone

> <Tabel besluiten Discovery.pdf>

Mark Buijsters

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: woensdag 10 april 2019 14:51
Aan: [REDACTED]@icloud.com
Onderwerp: Ontheffingsbesluiten
Bijlagen: Ontheffingsbesluit.pdf

Beste [REDACTED]

Aangezien [REDACTED] vandaag afwezig is, neem ik vandaag haar inbox waar.
In reactie op het verzoek aan [REDACTED] een voorbeeld van een ontheffingsbesluit te verkrijgen, stuur ik je hierbij ter inzage een scan van één van de ontheffingsbesluiten.
De overige ontheffingsbesluiten zijn op een vergelijkbare wijze opgesteld.

In de mail aan [REDACTED] gaf je aan rond 16.00 uur graag even te willen bellen over de ontheffingsbesluiten. Omdat [REDACTED] vandaag afwezig is, is het wellicht niet mogelijk haar telefonisch te bereiken op dat tijdstip.
Eventuele vragen aan [REDACTED] kunnen vandaag derhalve aan mij worden gesteld. Ik ben te bereiken op het telefoonnummer [REDACTED] of via het onderstaande e-mailadres.

Met vriendelijke groet,

[REDACTED]
Adviseur Onderzoek & Toegang

Commissariaat voor de Media
Hoge Naarderweg 78, 1217 AH Hilversum
[REDACTED]@cvdm.nl, www.cvdm.nl
Aan dit bericht kunnen geen rechten worden ontleend

COMMISSARIAAT VOOR DE MEDIA

Mark Buijsters

Van: [REDACTED]
Verzonden: maandag 6 mei 2019 10:14
Aan: [REDACTED]
Onderwerp: RE: brutale vraag :-)
Bijlagen: Tabel bij besluiten Discovery.docx

Hoi [REDACTED]

Excuses voor mijn late reactie.

Ik ben zelf net terug na 1,5 week ziek thuis te hebben gezeten dus ben druk bezig de achterstanden weg te werken.

Er vanuit gaande dat je de tabel inderdaad alleen voor eigen werkzaamheden gebruikt, stuur ik je hierbij de word-versie die je kunt bewerken.

Zoals je zelf al aangeeft, blijven de besluiten leidend.

Hopelijk is hij van nut.

Groeten,
[REDACTED]

-----Oorspronkelijk bericht-----

Van: [REDACTED]@icloud.com>
Verzonden: dinsdag 30 april 2019 16:54
Aan: [REDACTED]@cvdm.nl>
Onderwerp: brutale vraag :-)

Ha [REDACTED]

Alles goed? Al bekomen van de drukte of loopt het brexit feest met alle drukte nog vrolijk door?

[REDACTED] - Buiten reikwijdte

En om dingen dus wat sneller te laten lopen heb ik de volgende (misschien te brutale) vraag: heb jij de tabel die is gepubliceerd op internet in een bewerkbare versie? Niet dat we met die getallen aan de haal willen gaan (vrees niet, dat kan ook niet, want tabel op jullie website en besluiten zijn bepalend), maar omdat die tabel gewoon superhandig is om daarmee verder te werken en dingen aan toe te voegen, met name in verband met de vraag aan welke verplichtingen ieder kanaal nu moet voldoen. Dat is uiteraard mijn pakkie an, maar het zou enorm helpen als ik met deze tabel kan verder werken.

Of weet jij een manier waarop ik deze tabel heel makkelijk in een te bewerken versie kan omzetten?

Groet, [REDACTED]